



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,471	05/31/2001	Paul E. Jacobs	990374	1578

23696 7590 03/30/2004

Qualcomm Incorporated
Patents Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

EXAMINER

CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2686

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/871,471

Applicant(s)

JACOBS ET AL

Examiner

Joy K Contee

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 16-17, 19-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 14, 15 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6,8-13,16,17,19-33 and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Angwin et al. (Angwin), WO 00/41416.

Regarding claim 1, Angwin discloses a data manager for a wireless device, wherein the wireless device sends and receives a plurality of broadcasts between the wireless device and at least one remote device over an internet protocol connection, and wherein the data manager is communicatively connected to the wireless device, comprising:

a data receiver that receives voice data and non-voice data (col. 8,lines 20-40);

a data sender that sends other voice data and other non-voice data (col. 8,lines 38-42) ;

a data recognizer (i.e., reads on Interworking Function) that differentiates the voice data from the non-voice data at said data receiver, and that differentiates the

other voice data from the other non-voice data at the data sender (col. 8,lines 38-43);
and

a controller (i.e., reads on WAP proxy) that controls the broadcast at said data receiver of the voice data and the non-voice data, and that controls the broadcast at the data sender of the other voice data and the other non-voice data, according to the differentiation by the data recognizer, wherein said controller switches the wireless device to a first internet protocol format for the broadcast of the voice data and the other voice data, and switches the wireless device to at least one second internet protocol format for the broadcast of the non-voice data and the other non-voice data (col. 8,lines 38-43 and col. 14,lines 1-23).

Regarding claim 2, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein the data manager is resident in the wireless device (col. 13,line 39 to col. 14,line 9).

Regarding claim 3, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein said controller switches from the first internet protocol to the at least one second internet protocol, or from the at least one second internet protocol to the first internet protocol, automatically according to the type of data differentiated by said data recognizer (col. 14,lines 38-45).

Regarding claim 4, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein said controller switches from the first internet protocol to the at least one second internet protocol, or from the at least one second internet protocol to the first internet protocol, in response to a command entered by a user of the wireless device, which command is entered according to the differentiation by said data recognizer (col. 13,lines 18-32).

Regarding claim 5, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 3 or 4, wherein the switching occurs during a call on the wireless device (col. 6, lines 25-35).

Regarding claim 6, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 3 or 4, wherein the switching occurs between at least two calls on the wireless device (col. 9, lines 22-30).

Regarding claim 8, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 4, wherein the wireless device includes at least one key, and wherein the command is entered by the user pressing the at least one key on the wireless device (col. 6, lines 25-35).

Regarding claim 9, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein only voice data and other voice data (i.e., reads on ongoing control information) comprise a first call (col. 11, lines 10-25).

Regarding claim 10, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein said controller switches from the at least one second internet protocol to the first internet protocol automatically upon differentiation by said data recognizer of voice data (col. 8, lines 38-43).

Regarding claim 11, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein said controller switches from the at least one second internet protocol to the first internet protocol automatically upon differentiation by said data recognizer of other voice data (col. 8, lines 38-43).

Regarding claim 12, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein said controller switching to the first internet protocol includes said controller activating a

Art Unit: 2686

voice coder, thereby allowing the sending of voice packets over the internet protocol connection (col. 9, lines 31-39).

Regarding claim 13, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein the first internet protocol substantially eliminates latency in the broadcast (col. 5, lines 17-27).

Regarding claim 16, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein an internet instruction is entered by a user, and wherein the internet instruction controls the internet protocol connection (col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 17, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 16, wherein the internet instruction is a control mechanism (col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 19, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 16, wherein the internet instruction is entered by the user at a remote internet terminal (col. 3, lines 37-45 and col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 20, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 16, wherein the internet instruction is entered by the user at the wireless device (col. 3, lines 37-45 and col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 21, Angwin discloses the data manager of claim 1, wherein the broadcast occurs at a communication rate of up to 2 Mbits per second (col. 12, lines 20-42).

Regarding claim 22, Angwin discloses a wireless telephone, comprising: a handset; an internet interface resident on said handset; a wireless connection between said handset and an internet protocol connection, wherein said internet protocol

Art Unit: 2686

connection passes voice data, other voice data, and non-voice data to said internet interface; a data manager resident on said handset, and communicatively connected to said internet interface, wherein said data manager comprises: a data receiver that receives the voice data over the internet protocol connection; a data sender that sends the other voice data over the internet protocol connection; a data recognizer that differentiates the voice data and the other voice data from the non-voice data and non-data; and a controller that broadcasts the voice data and the other voice data in an internet voice protocol format during the internet protocol connection, according to the differentiation by the data recognizer (col 8,lines 38-43 and col. 14, lines 1-23).

Regarding claim 23, Angwin discloses the wireless telephone of claim 22, wherein said internet interface comprises a web browser (col. 3,lines 7-40).

Regarding claim 24, Angwin discloses the wireless telephone of claim 22, wherein said controller switches from the internet voice protocol format to at least one second internet protocol (i.e., reads on switches between fixed and wireless) upon differentiation of the non-voice data by said data recognizer (col. 8,lines 21-40).

Regarding claim 25, Angwin discloses the wireless telephone of claim 22, wherein said controller switches from the at least one second internet protocol to the internet voice protocol format upon differentiation of voice data or other voice data (i.e., reads on wireline to wireless) by said data recognizer (col. 8,lines 21-40)

Regarding claim 26, Angwin discloses the wireless telephone of claim 24 or 25, wherein said controller switches from the internet voice protocol format to the at least one second internet protocol, or from the at least one second internet protocol to the

Art Unit: 2686

internet voice protocol format, in response to a command entered by a user of the wireless device, which command is entered to said handset (col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 27, Angwin further discloses the wireless telephone of claim 24 or 25, wherein said controller switches from the internet voice protocol format to the at least one second internet protocol, or from the at least one second internet protocol to the internet voice protocol format, automatically upon differentiation by said data recognizer (col. 8, lines 21-40).

Regarding claim 28, Angwin discloses the wireless telephone of claim 22, wherein said internet interface receives an internet instruction from a user via said handset, and wherein the internet instruction controls the internet protocol connection (col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 29, Angwin discloses a wireless data communication system, comprising: an internet protocol communication network; and at least two devices (i.e., reads on two mobile devices), wherein at least one of said devices is a wireless device, wherein each device is connected to said internet protocol communication network, wherein each device communicates with at least one other of said devices over said internet protocol communication network, and wherein the communication is controlled by at least one data manager resident at and communicatively connected to each wireless device (col. 8, lines 20-45 and col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 30, Angwin discloses the wireless data communication system of claim 29, wherein the data manager comprises: a data receiver that receives voice data over said internet protocol communication network; a data sender that sends the

other voice data over said internet protocol communication network; a data recognizer that differentiates the voice data and the other voice data from non-voice data and non-data; and a controller that broadcasts the voice data and the other voice data in an internet voice protocol format over said internet protocol communication network, according to the differentiation by the data recognizer device (col. 8, lines 20-45 and col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 31, Angwin discloses a data manager for a wireless device, wherein the wireless device sends and receives a plurality of broadcasts between the wireless device and at least one remote device over an internet protocol connection, and wherein the data manager is communicatively connected to the wireless device, comprising: means for receiving voice data and non-voice data at the wireless device; means for sending other voice data and other non-voice data from the wireless device; means for differentiating the voice data from the non-voice data; means for differentiating (i.e., reads on IWF) the other voice data from the other non-voice data; and means for controlling the broadcast of the voice data and the non-voice data according to the differentiating of the voice data from the non-voice data, and for controlling the broadcast of the other voice data and the other non-voice data, according to the differentiating of the other voice data from the other non-voice data; wherein said means for controlling switches the broadcast to a first internet protocol format for broadcast of the voice data and the other voice data, and switches to at least one second internet protocol format for broadcast of the non-voice data and the other non-voice data (col. 8, lines 21-45 and col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 32, Angwin discloses a method of managing data in a wireless device, wherein the wireless device sends and receives a plurality of broadcasts between the wireless device and at least one remote device over an internet protocol connection, comprising the steps of: receiving voice data and non-voice data at the wireless device; sending other voice data and other non-voice data from the wireless device; differentiating the voice data from the non-voice data; differentiating the other voice data from the other non-voice data; and controlling the broadcast of the voice data and the non-voice data according to said differentiating the voice data from the non-voice data, and the broadcast of the other voice data and the other non-voice data according to said differentiating the other voice data from the other non-voice data; wherein said controlling switches the broadcast to a first internet protocol format for broadcast of the voice data and the other voice data, and switches the broadcast to at least one second internet protocol format for broadcast of the non-voice data and the other non-voice data (col. 8, lines 20-45 and col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 33, Angwin discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the switching by said controlling comprises responding to an entering by a user of the wireless device of a command (col. 13, lines 10-32).

Regarding claim 35, Angwin discloses the method of claim 33, wherein the entering of the command comprises the user pressing a key on the wireless device (col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 3).

Regarding claim 36, Angwin discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the switching by said controlling comprises automatically switching by the wireless device upon differentiating of the voice data or the other voice data (col. 8, lines 35-43).

Regarding claim 37, Angwin discloses the method of claim 32, further comprising broadcasting the voice data (col. 7, lines 21-36).

Regarding claim 38, Angwin discloses the method of claim 32, further comprising broadcasting the other voice data (e.g., synthesized voice data) (col. 4, lines 29-38 and col. 7, lines 21-36).

Regarding claim 39, Angwin discloses the method of claim 37 or 38, wherein said broadcasting comprises activating a voice coder, thereby allowing the sending of voice packets over the internet protocol connection (col. 10, lines 26-43) .

Regarding claim 40, Angwin discloses the method of claim 32, further comprising broadcasting the non-voice data (col. 10, lines 34-43).

Regarding claim 41, Angwin discloses the method of claim 32, further comprising broadcasting the other non-voice data (col. 10, lines 34-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angwin, in view of Brachman et al. (Brachman), U.S. Patent No. 6,704,576.

Regarding claims 7 and 34, Angwin discloses the data manager of claims 4 and 33, but fails to explicitly disclose wherein the command is entered by the user speaking aloud.

In a similar field of endeavor, Brachman provides evidence of an IVR server which allows a user to access content or effectuate transactions by transmitting tones or speech over the network (col. 4, lines 6-26).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Angwin to include voice command for the purpose of providing an easier way of sending a command as is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 14, 15 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art of record fails to explicitly disclose the data manager of claim 1, wherein the wireless device has at least two feature sets that use the first internet protocol and wherein the at least two feature sets are selected from the group consisting of multipoint conferencing, virtual CB, interactive gaming, and a virtual community.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chennakeshu, U.S. Patent No. 5,835,480, discloses a circuitry and method for simultaneously transmitting voice and data information.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is 703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.


Joy Contee

March 22, 2004


TEMICA M. DAVIS
PATENT EXAMINER